ECE4899 Final Report Evaluation

Team Members: (1) Michael de Graaf, (2) Kelsey Thorson, and (3) Robert Chavez
Title: BLE Prototypes for Interface Testing with an iOS Device, sponsored by Bob Kressin UCCS ECE Department.

Reviewer: __________________________ Date: 5/13/2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Please evaluate by filling in the circled numbers on a scale of:</th>
<th>1 Needs improvement 3 Good 5 Excellent 2 Adequate 4 Exceeds standards 6 Outstanding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the report written in a formal organization as commonly used in the professional engineering work place? (contains Introduction, Summary/ Conclusion, figures/ tables, and references, etc.)</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the report exhibit correct English grammar?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the report exhibit correct word usage?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the report exhibit correct spelling?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do figures have correct format?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do tables have correct format (IEEE)?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do references have correct format (IEEE)?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the contents effectively conveyed?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does report contain sufficient detail?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is report neatly prepared?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: (particularly, should all team members receive the same grade)
**ECE4899 Final Report Evaluation**

**Team Members:** (1) Abin Muckom, (2) Rick Dowdle, (3) Justin Bobo, and (4) Jason Morrissey  
**Title:** Micro Position Controller, sponsored by Shielding Integrity Services, Colorado Springs.  
**Reviewer:** _______________________________  
**Date:** 5/13/2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Please evaluate by filling in the circled numbers on a scale of:</th>
<th>1 Needs improvement</th>
<th>3 Good</th>
<th>5 Excellent</th>
<th>2 Adequate</th>
<th>4 Exceeds standards</th>
<th>6 Outstanding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the report written in a formal organization as commonly used in the professional engineering work place? (contains Introduction, Summary/Conclusion, figures/ tables, and references, etc.)</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the report exhibit correct English grammar?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the report exhibit correct word usage?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the report exhibit correct spelling?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do figures have correct format?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do tables have correct format (IEEE)?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do references have correct format (IEEE)?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the contents effectively conveyed?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does report contain sufficient detail?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is report neatly prepared?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:** (particularly, should all team members receive the same grade)
# ECE4899 Final Report Evaluation

**Team Members:** (1) Kenneth Hassey and (2) Danny Dauwe  
**Title:** Verilog Linting Tool, UCCS ECE, sponsored by Dr. Tumbush UCCS ECE Department.  
**Reviewer:** ______________________________________  
**Date:** 5/13/2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Please evaluate by filling in the circled numbers on a scale of:</th>
<th>1 Needs improvement</th>
<th>2 Adequate</th>
<th>3 Good</th>
<th>4 Exceeds standards</th>
<th>5 Excellent</th>
<th>6 Outstanding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the report written in a formal organization as commonly used in the professional engineering work place? (contains Introduction, Summary/Conclusion, figures/tables, and references, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the report exhibit correct English grammar?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the report exhibit correct word usage?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the report exhibit correct spelling?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do figures have correct format?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do tables have correct format (IEEE)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do references have correct format (IEEE)?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the contents effectively conveyed?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does report contain sufficient detail?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is report neatly prepared?</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:** (particularly, should all team members receive the same grade)
# ECE4899 Final Report Evaluation

**Team Members:** (1) Aaron Danielson, (2) Rob Mann, (3) Randy Newcomb, (4) Scott Russell  
**Title:** Class-D Garage Band Amplifier, sponsored by Real-Time Logic, Colorado Springs, CO.  
**Reviewer:** ___________________________  
**Date:** 5/10/2013

Please evaluate by filling in the circled numbers on a scale of:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1 Needs improvement</th>
<th>2 Adequate</th>
<th>3 Good</th>
<th>4 Exceeds standards</th>
<th>5 Excellent</th>
<th>6 Outstanding</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

| Is the report written in a formal organization as commonly used in the professional engineering work place? (contains Introduction, Summary/Conclusion, figures/tables, and references, etc.) | 1 2 3 4 5 6 |
| Does the report exhibit correct English grammar? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 |
| Does the report exhibit correct word usage? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 |
| Does the report exhibit correct spelling? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 |
| Do figures have correct format? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 |
| Do tables have correct format (IEEE)? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 |
| Do references have correct format (IEEE)? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 |
| Are the contents effectively conveyed? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 |
| Does report contain sufficient detail? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 |
| Is report neatly prepared? | 1 2 3 4 5 6 |

**Comments:** (particularly, should all team members receive the same grade)
ECE4899 Final Report Evaluation

Team Members: (1) Collin Mast, (2) Cory Mast, (3) Carl Christensen, and (4) Andrew Greene


Reviewer: ___________________________________________ Date: 5/10/2013

Please evaluate by filling in the circled numbers on a scale of:

1 Needs improvement 3 Good 5 Excellent
2 Adequate 4 Exceeds standards 6 Outstanding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the report written in a formal organization as commonly used in the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>professional engineering work place? (contains Introduction, Summary/</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conclusion, figures/ tables, and references, etc.)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the report exhibit correct English grammar?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the report exhibit correct word usage?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the report exhibit correct spelling?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do figures have correct format?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do tables have correct format (IEEE)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do references have correct format (IEEE)?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the contents effectively conveyed?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does report contain sufficient detail?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is report neatly prepared?</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Comments: (particularly, should all team members receive the same grade)
**ECE4899 Final Report Evaluation**

**Team Members:** (1) Will Fangmeier, (2) Joel Fassbender, and (3) Evan Hopper  
**Title:** Electronic Bird Deflector Device, Sponsored by Fluke Networks, Colorado Springs, CO.  
**Reviewer:** ___________________________  
**Date:** 5/10/2013

Please evaluate by filling in the circled numbers on a scale of:  
1 Needs improvement 3 Good 5 Excellent  
2 Adequate 4 Exceeds standards 6 Outstanding

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Question</th>
<th>1 2 3 4 5 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Is the report written in a formal organization as commonly used in the professional engineering work place? (contains Introduction, Summary/Conclusion, figures/ tables, and references, etc.)</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the report exhibit correct English grammar?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the report exhibit correct word usage?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does the report exhibit correct spelling?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do figures have correct format?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do tables have correct format (IEEE)?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Do references have correct format (IEEE)?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Are the contents effectively conveyed?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Does report contain sufficient detail?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Is report neatly prepared?</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Comments:** (particularly, should all team members receive the same grade)